for us at some point in time?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes, I don't happen to have it.

SENATOR LEWIS: Okay, I would like that. Then the last one I have is program #518 on the water waste program and I guess my substantive question there is how is that administered and how are those grants determined?

SENATOR WARNER: \$2 million represents the statutorial requirement that the state will match twenty-five percent cost, twenty-five percent local, fifty percent federal and what we merely do is reflect in the budget what the agency estimates will be required for the upcoming year to match what secondary sewerage treatments will be constructed. It varies from year to year. We are down a million from last year. Authorization I can recall two or three years ago was at the \$5 million level but it fluctuates from year to year but the fluctuation is based upon the amount of construction that is in fact going to occur.

SENATOR LEWIS: Okay, that will conclude my questions on the items. I should have attended the session and I would have found all that out.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Sieck. The Sieck amendment, yes.

CLERK: Mr. President, real quickly if I may right before that, Senator DeCamp would like to print amendments to LB 739; Senator Kahle to 666.

A new A bill, LB 361A, title read.

Mr. President, Senator Sieck moves to amend LB 1002. Would you like me to read it, Senator. Read Sieck amendment found on page 1304, Legislative Journal. The amendment is offered by Senator Sieck, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Sieck.

SENATOR SIECK: Mr. President, members of the body, I noticed in 1002 that they eliminated the discretionary fund. I also noticed in the budget that was recommended by the Governor that he had a considerable discretionary fund. I can't quite understand why the Budget Committee or Appropriations Committee took out the discretionary fund and I also feel that there is a great need for water development funds. As I understand there is about a backlog of \$10 million